



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS



Megha Middha

Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr. Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and

learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

AI MEETS TRADEMARK LAW: NAVIGATING THE NEW FRONTIER OF DIGITAL BRAND IDENTITY

AUTHORED BY - ISHITA SHARMA

ABSTRACT

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has had a significant impact on other domains, including the field of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR). As artificial intelligence becomes increasingly intertwined with trademark law, it offers prospects for innovation while also posing novel legal obstacles. The enhanced capacity of AI to independently produce trademarks and aid in trademark investigations has enhanced productivity, but it also requires a reassessment of notions such as authorship and uniqueness within the realm of trademark legislation. Furthermore, the impact of AI on customer perception, which is a crucial aspect of trademark infringement lawsuits, is a growing worry. This is because the algorithmic nature of AI may not correspond with the subjective evaluations made by the typical consumer. This article examines the convergence of artificial intelligence (AI) and trademark law, specifically focusing on how it affects ownership and uniqueness, the possibility of AI-generated trademarks infringing on existing ones, and the resulting requirement for legal frameworks to adjust to these technological breakthroughs. The study predicts a path for trademark law that adapts to the digital and algorithmic era, protecting intellectual property while embracing the unstoppable progress of AI innovation.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of technology in the twenty-first century has come to represent human progress, with Artificial Intelligence (AI) at the forefront of this technological revival. Artificial Intelligence (AI), which was once a prevalent motif in science fiction, has evolved into an indispensable element in our daily lives, impacting numerous sectors including banking and healthcare, as well as the domain of intellectual property rights (IPR). The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) as a potent instrument in the realms of intellectual property development,

management, and execution has presented novel prospects within the legal sphere, calling into question established IPR legislation and procedures.

Complex and consequential is the relationship between artificial intelligence (AI) and intellectual property rights (IPR). The capacity of artificial intelligence (AI) to generate novel outcomes, such as literary works, musical compositions, and visual art, prompts fundamental inquiries concerning the nature of creativity and authorship. This is particularly significant in the field of copyright law, as historically, the determination of originality and ownership has been based on human inventors. These concepts are imperiled by the advent of AI, which necessitates a reevaluation and possible modification of copyright regulations to account for works produced by non-human entities.

Not only is AI a prevalent subject of patents, but it is also an active participant in the patent procurement process. There has been an increasing adoption of AI systems to identify potential concepts and assist in the development of patent applications. This gives rise to substantial inquiries concerning authorship and the criteria for patent protection, given that current legal structures predominantly center on human innovators.

Furthermore, the impact that AI has on trademark law is equally significant. The utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) in the realm of brand development introduces unique complexities to the trademark registration and infringement resolution processes, including the creation of logos and marketing materials. The utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) in the detection and supervision of trademark violations underscores its potential as a mechanism for enforcing intellectual property rights (IPR), albeit simultaneously giving rise to concerns regarding accuracy, bias, and privacy.

In light of the continuous progress and expanding incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) into diverse spheres of life, it is imperative that intellectual property rights (IPR) regulations adapt accordingly to remain current with these technological advancements.

AI AND TRADEMARK LAW

The field of trademark law is increasingly depending on Artificial Intelligence (AI), which not only offers innovative resolutions but also presents unique legal complexities. The capacity to

analyze extensive datasets has led to enhanced trademark search methodologies, which have substantially reduced the probability of conflicts arising during the registration process. AI is capable, apart from performing administrative duties, of autonomously generating commercial insignia, including logos. This phenomenon brings forth novel dimensions to the concept of creative authorship and incites a reevaluation of the standards that ascertain the uniqueness and originality of trademarks. Due to the need to address ethical compliance and data privacy protection, technological progress presents a number of challenges, including the requirement for a comprehensive understanding of how AI contributes to potential trademark infringements. The application of artificial intelligence to trademark law exemplifies the broader trend toward digitization of legal processes.

NAVIGATING THE COMPLEXITIES IMPACT ON CONSUMER PERCEPTION

In trademark law, the "average consumer test" encapsulates the critical role that cognition and perception play in brand recognition and awareness. Consumers who are reasonably knowledgeable, according to the legal principle, base their purchasing decisions on an incomplete mental image of the product or service, as opposed to a comprehensive comparison study. The recognition of the distinct variations in consumer perception is critical in cases involving trademark infringement and passing off, due to the inherent subjectivity of this criterion. This assessment measures the likelihood of misinterpretation that could result from sellers' and purchasers' erroneous recollection and pronunciation, as opposed to performing an exhaustive analysis of trademarks. In the process of resolving trademark disputes, courts consider the perspective of an ordinary consumer with average mental capacity and an essentially imperfect memory. This viewpoint is crucial for brand proprietors to bear in mind when selecting new trademarks and evaluating legal conflicts. In addition to phonetic and visual similarity, they should take into account the characteristics of the products or services under consideration.

The case Hamdard National Foundation (India) vs. Sadar Laboratories Pvt. Ltd., in which the Delhi High Court refused to grant an injunction to the proprietor of the trademark "Rooh Afza" against Sadar Dawakhana's use of the mark "Dil Afza," exemplifies this principle. The Court applied the average consumer test and concluded that the marks 'Rooh Afza' and 'Dil Afza' were unlikely to induce confusion among an ordinary consumer due to the distinct meanings of 'Dil' and 'Rooh' in common language, notwithstanding the similarities in product category

(syrup/sharbat).¹

The incorporation of AI into trademark analysis, however, presents a challenge to these established norms. The methodical and data-driven approach utilized by artificial intelligence to evaluate trademarks might not entirely align with the nuanced and subjective assessments typically found in consumer tests. The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into consumer perceptions and brand identification necessitates a comprehensive reassessment of the foundational principles that underpin trademark law.

POSSIBLE INFRINGEMENT

Existing trademark duplication that occurs unintentionally is a significant concern raised by the use of AI to generate new trademarks. Although distinctive in nature, this technological advancement carries the potential danger of producing symbols or emblems that bear an uncanny similarity to those of well-established companies.

Consumer confusion may result from the mere existence of such similarity, regardless of whether it is unintentional or deliberate. This undermines the essential function of trademarks, which is to prevent such confusion. An instance of a logo generated by AI may bear significant visual similarities to a logo of a renowned brand, despite being an original creation. Consumers may be misled regarding the origin of the products or services that this resemblance visually signifies. The present circumstances necessitate a reevaluation of the legal structures that regulate the participation of artificial intelligence in trademark creation, in addition to generating inquiries regarding liability in infringement proceedings.

The fundamental issue arises due to the intrinsic characteristics of AI systems, which, in their pursuit of data patterns and algorithmic decision-making, are incapable of comprehending the legal and ethical constraints associated with trademark establishment. A dual-pronged approach is required to address this challenge. Before anything else, it is imperative that the AI training modules be improved through the integration of exhaustive databases containing records of previously documented instances of trademark infringement and well-established trademarks.

¹ Kartikey Singh, *Explained | What is the Delhi HC verdict on "Rooh Afza" trademark?*, THE HINDU, Dec. 27, 2022, <https://www.thehindu.com/specials/text-and-context/explained-what-is-the-delhi-hc-verdict-on-rooh-afza-trademark/article66308463.ece> (last visited Jan 12, 2024).

Thus, the AI system will be more effectively prevented from producing similar grades. Moreover, in order to ensure that AI tools adhere to trademark regulations, it is critical to amend legal regulations to account for the complexities of AI-generated content. In order to optimize the utilization of artificial intelligence's capabilities in trademark development, it is critical to demonstrate adaptability in both the legal and technological spheres. This adherence minimizes the potential for infringement and maintains the integrity of market competition.²

REDEFINING OWNERSHIP

According to the current legal system, in order to submit a trademark application, a person or organization must be involved and claim ownership of the mark. This need, although easily understood in conventional situations, becomes intricate in the context of AI-generated trademarks. The rise of AI as a means of independently generating trademarks presents a complex quandary concerning the ownership and authorship of these marks. The existing provision in trademark law fails to sufficiently address the issue of assigning ownership when an AI system is responsible for creating the trademark. This dilemma reflects the difficulties encountered in copyright law when dealing with works generated by AI, highlighting a more extensive legal predicament about the rights of intellectual property in relation to AI's involvement.

EVALUATING DISTINCTIVENESS

The Trade Marks Act of 1999 establishes distinctiveness and protectability as fundamental principles in the domain of trademark law. According to **Section 9(1)(a)** of the Act, trademarks that do not possess a distinctive character or are solely descriptive of the nature, quality, or other characteristics of goods or services are not qualify for registration. In the context of AI-generated trademarks, this provision, nevertheless, faces unparalleled obstacles. A redefinition of the term 'distinctiveness' is required in this algorithmic and digital age in light of the autonomous generation of trademarks by AI systems.³

² Arjit Benjamin, *India's IP Laws Need To Adapt To AI Creativity*, BAR AND BENCH - INDIAN LEGAL NEWS (2023), <https://www.barandbench.com/law-firms/view-point/indias-ip-laws-need-to-adapt-to-ai-creativity>.

³ Arjit Benjamin, *India's IP Laws Need To Adapt To AI Creativity*, BAR AND BENCH - INDIAN LEGAL NEWS (2023), <https://www.barandbench.com/law-firms/view-point/indias-ip-laws-need-to-adapt-to-ai-creativity>.

SHIPPING-THEN-SHOPPING

In the retail sector, AI technology is increasingly prevalent, most notably on the Amazon website. By conducting an analysis of customers' perusing and purchase histories, in addition to identifying patterns in the purchases of other customers, this technology provides product recommendations. With its AI capabilities, this recommendation system effectively replaces the traditional role of a human sales assistant. Furthermore, product recommendations are provided by devices such as Amazon Echo and Google Home that employ voice recognition artificial intelligence (Alexa). While the capability to execute orders automatically is not yet operational, the platform does offer suggestions for products that are informed by past purchases. This raises concerns regarding the influence of artificial intelligence on consumer decision-making, particularly when it may recommend products that infringe upon trademarks. Possible progressions could lead to AI systems performing purchases independently on behalf of consumers, taking into account broad criteria including price, personal preference, and availability. The integration of the "shipping-then-shopping" paradigm, wherein AI independently renders decisions, might necessitate the development of thorough return protocols to rectify potential inconsistencies between AI selections and customer satisfaction.

By utilizing a Wi-Fi-enabled button, the Amazon Dash service, which integrates artificial intelligence, optimizes the procedure of replenishing consumables. The system predominantly manages food items and everyday necessities such as ink cartridges, coffee pods, and water filters. In order to reduce the likelihood of consumer shortages, the reordering process is automated. This service stimulates crucial considerations regarding product choices within the framework of automated reordering. There are concerns that the company's reordering of identical brands or exploration of compatible alternatives may affect consumer brand loyalty and market competition. Currently, only firms that have an affiliation with Amazon's Dash Replenishment Service are granted access to the service. Nonetheless, this may alter in the future as more providers enter the market and the market grows, allowing AI to independently select a greater variety of products.⁴

⁴ LEE CURTIS & RACHEL PLATTS, *MANAGING I.P. COM YEA REND 2017*, (2017), <https://www.hgf.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/09-13-AI.pdf>.

WHAT IS THE LAW GOVERNING AI AND TRADEMARK?

The most popular case governing the interplay of AI and trademark is *Lush v. Amazon* decided in the court of United Kingdom. The High Court ruled against Amazon on trademark infringement, setting a precedent for how online retail behemoths deal with intellectual property. Central to this dispute was the claimants' ownership of the prestigious 'Lush' trademark, which is well-known in the cosmetics and toiletry industry.

Amazon's breach resulted from its smart but disputed usage of the term 'lush' in its search engine marketing techniques. The online shop strategically bid on the 'lush' term, resulting in two separate sorts of sponsored adverts. The first brazenly used the 'Lush' mark in its advertising, tempting buyers with taglines like 'Lush Soap at Amazon.co.uk', whereas the second quietly steered shoppers towards products similar to Lush's, without explicitly mentioning the trademark. Both methods cleverly drove customers to Amazon's site, but without delivering the actual Lush products.

Amazon's internal search mechanics revealed additional details about the case. When a customer entered 'lu' into Amazon's search field, they were automatically provided with alternatives such as 'lush bath bombs' and 'lush hair extensions'. These seemingly harmless hints lead to a complex labyrinth of product pages that either sold similar things to Lush's or, in the case of hair extensions, third-party Lush products alongside competitive ones. The 'Lush' moniker appeared frequently on these pages, however without a clear caution concerning the non-availability of Lush's original products.

The discovery that these methods were not manually developed but rather outcomes of Amazon's automated algorithms, which customized keyword bids and ad content depending on customer behavior analysis, was a major component of this case. This sophisticated mechanism, however, did little to absolve Amazon of its responsibilities for protecting trademark rights.⁵

The High Court's decision in the *Amazon-Lush* case sheds light on the complex and frequently precarious equilibrium between trademark protection and technological innovation, which has far-reaching consequences for the burgeoning intersection of artificial intelligence (AI) and e-

⁵ TRADE MARKS: *Cosmetic Warriors Ltd and Lush Ltd v. Amazon.co.uk Ltd*, FIELDFISHER (2014), <https://www.fieldfisher.com/en/insights/trade-marks-cosmetic-warriors-ltd-and-lush-ltd-v-amazonco-uk-ltd>.

commerce. This pivotal decision highlights a significant current concern: the growing prevalence of artificial intelligence (AI) surpassing human discernment in the realm of e-commerce, which carries with it the potential dangers of being misinformed and infringing on trademarks.

Substantially, the intricate dilemma presented by AI's intrinsic constraints revolves around its incapability to discern brand significance in the same way that humans do and its deficiency in emotional intelligence. Due to this inherent limitation in technology, products may be unintentionally distorted, which has the potential to mislead the typical consumer and violate well-established brand identities. Insofar as unanticipated drawbacks of integrating AI into consumer experiences are brought to light, the case serves as a cautionary tale.

In addition, the decision highlights the legal intricacies and obligations that legislators and tribunals bear in the current era of digital technology. The legal system is confronted with the responsibility of adapting to and protecting intellectual property rights in the face of the ongoing evolution and increasing integration of AI into our everyday lives.

In determining future legal frameworks, the discernment of the High Court is crucial, specifically with regard to how the average consumer interprets AI-generated product recommendations. The court remarked shrewdly that consumers might consider AI-generated suggestions for product availability that are based on previous inquiries as beneficial and potentially deceptive. By establishing a precedent for confronting comparable challenges in subsequent cases, this insight not only signifies a profound comprehension of consumer psychology in the digital domain but also guarantees that the legal system maintains its sensitivity to the realities of an AI-driven marketplace.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the intersection of trademark law and artificial intelligence (AI) signifies a significant turning point in the history of intellectual property rights. The paper has explored the intricate intersection of technology and law, shedding light on the numerous ramifications of the expanding role of artificial intelligence in electronic commerce. At this juncture where regulation and innovation converge, it is indisputable that artificial intelligence has fundamentally transformed the domains of trademark registration, exploration, and enforcement.

The *Lush v. Amazon* case foreshadows the intricate difficulties that are to come. This highlights the critical need for an adaptable legal structure that can simultaneously accommodate the swift progressions in artificial intelligence and maintain the integrity of trademark legislation. The High Court's decision serves as evidence of the flexibility of the legal system and its dedication to safeguarding the interests of consumers and brand proprietors in a progressively digital market.

The advancement of artificial intelligence illuminates the urgent requirement for a reevaluation of the tenets that regulate trademark legislation. With the increasing proficiency of AI systems in producing innovative outputs, concerns regarding ownership, authorship, and uniqueness necessitate a proactive stance. A collaborative endeavor involving legislators, legal professionals, and technologists is crucial in order to enhance the algorithms that power artificial intelligence (AI) and guarantee that they adhere to the ethical and legal constraints of trademark law.

Beyond being a tale of adaptation to artificial intelligence, the future of trademark law also presents a chance to reconceive the legal mechanisms that protect intellectual property. Through proactive prediction of the course of AI advancements, the legal community can formulate resilient approaches that effectively deter infringement and safeguard the integrity of the market. Our shared determination to cultivate an atmosphere of equitable competition and ingenuity will determine the trajectory of trademark legislation in the age of artificial intelligence, as we wholeheartedly embrace the digital age. This endeavor is not devoid of obstacles; however, by adopting a proactive and cooperative attitude, the legal system has the capacity to transform these challenges into opportunities for the advancement and safeguarding of trademark law.